## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

PETER GAKUBA,	)	
Plaintiff,	) )	
vs.	) Case No. 22-cv-00843-SM	МY
	)	
APRIL WAMPLER,	)	
MICHELLE NEESE,	)	
MS. HELREGEL,	)	
BAHRAT SHAH,	)	
DAVID RAINS, and		
JAKE BROOKHART,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

## YANDLE, District Judge:

This matter is before the Court on the Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendant Bharat Shah, M.D. (Doc. 34). Dr. Shah asserts that he is misidentified in this case. Plaintiff did not respond to the motion.<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiff's claims in this case arose in July-September 2019 at Robinson Correctional Center (Docs. 10, 11). The Court allowed Plaintiff to proceed against Dr. Shah on his claims in Counts 3, 5, and 6, based on Dr. Shah's alleged failure to treat Plaintiff's nervous breakdown in September 2019.

Dr. Shah, whose full name is Bharat Kantilal Shah, asserts that he had formally retired from the practice of medicine by the time Plaintiff's claims arose and that he never worked at Robinson Correctional Center and never treated or assessed Plaintiff. (Doc. 34; Doc. 34-1). He is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Gakuba's original deadline to respond to the motion was June 26, 2023 (Doc. 46), and the Court extended his deadline to August 16, 2023 (Doc. 54). However, on July 28, 2023, the U.S. Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit, imposed a filing ban on Plaintiff in *Gakuba v. Maher*, Appeal No. 22-3267 (Doc. 20 in that case). All federal courts in the Seventh Circuit are directed to return unfiled any papers submitted by Gakuba in non-habeas civil cases. The ban will be lifted when Gakuba makes full payment of the appellate filing fees for Appeal No. 22-3267.

Case 3:22-cv-00843-SMY Document 63 Filed 12/14/23 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #211

aware of other physicians by the name of Bharat Shah, and concludes that Plaintiff incorrectly

identified and served him. The Court agrees with Dr. Shah that Plaintiff's claims against him fail

as a matter of law as Dr. Bharat Kantilal Shah is not the individual who allegedly treated or failed

to treat Plaintiff at Robinson.

Accordingly, Defendant Shah's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 34) is GRANTED. Plaintiff's

claims against Bharat Kantilal Shah, M.D., are **DISMISSED** with prejudice. The Clerk of Court

is **DIRECTED** to terminate Defendant Shah from this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 13, 2023

<u>s/ Staci M. Yandle</u>

STACI M. YANDLE

**United States District Judge** 

2